73

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI JAGDISH BHALLA, Ag. CJ. & HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

Writ Petition (P.I.L.) No. 6179 of 2007

Petitioners :

1. Laxman Yadav, S/o. Shri Dashrath Yadav, aged about 38 years, resident of Village & Post Jamul, District Durg (C.G.)
2. Kedar Banchhor, S/o. Shri Bhagwati Banchhor, aged about 32 years,

Both are the resident at Village and Post-Jamul, District-Durg (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department (Mantralaya), D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, District – Durg (C.G.)
3. Collector, District – Durg (C.G.)
4. Nazul Officer, Durg, District – Durg (C.G.)
5. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council, Jamul, District Durg (C.G.)

Writ Petition (PIL) under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

---

Present : Shri Virendra Sharma, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate, for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 09th October, 2007)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by **Hon'ble Jagdish Bhalla, Ag. C.J.**

74

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, we have come across that the matter in question is subjudice before the Collector. Therefore, no interference is warranted under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. However, it is clarified that we have not considered the matter on merits because it is subjudice before the Collector.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Sushil